

Minutes of the Planning Committee of Aston Clinton Parish Council, held on  
Tuesday 3<sup>rd</sup> November 2020 at 6.30pm at the Parish Council Virtual  
Meeting Room

**Present**

Cllr Wyatt (Chairman)  
Cllr Mason  
Cllr Tubb  
Cllr Ronson  
Cllr Howard  
Cllr McCall  
Cllr Read

**In attendance**

Clerk E Barry (recording)

Members of the public: 1

**20.96 Apologies**

No apologies were received.

**20.97 Declaration of Interests: For Councillor's to declare any personal or prejudicial interests.**

There were no declarations of interest.

**20.98 Minutes of the previous meeting**

These were approved and signed by the Chair

**20.99 Public Participation**

A member of the public with a planning application on the agenda raised the following:

- They were looking for support for their planning application
- They said they had worked with the architect to make sure space was maximised while adhering to relevant planning policies, including street scene.
- Pointed out there had been an objection from a neighbouring property with regard to the proposed Velux window on the side and the Juliette balcony, and went onto explain that the Velux window, would provide more privacy than the current window and that the proposed first floor window/Juliette balcony, would not overlook the neighbouring property.

**20.100 Report on Current active medium to large scale development sites**

The following items were reported:

**Land opposite the Rothschild public House:** Cllr Tubb reported there had been issues with the building site with working at weekends, both Saturday and Sunday, and starting work that had not had final planning approval. Cllr Wyatt reported that he had been communicating with District Councillor Carole Paternoster and she had reported this to the case officer. He stated that they seem to be having to jump through hoops to get any legal status on this. Cllr Read asked if he had been shut down for two weeks. Cllr Wyatt responded that he had just stopped for a while rather than being shut down but working on Saturdays and Sundays. Cllr Read asked if enforcement had been contacted and Cllr Wyatt responded that he sent photos to Carole Paternoster but was happy to contact and put pressure on enforcement. Cllr Read also recommended copying in the head of planning, and case officer along with enforcement officer. Cllr Howard reported that on the day of this meeting, brick layers were blocking pavements and that he would forward pictures to Cllr Wyatt.

**Cala homes site:** An email had been received regarding adopting the roads on this site. Cllr Read explained that once the roads have been finished, they would be adopted by the Unitary Council and until that point, they were under the responsibility of the developer.

**20.101 Review and Recommendations of Planning Applications: Small Scale**

- i. 20/03379/APP 83 London Road Two storey side extension

**RESOLVED:** In support of this application, in particular on the merits of the design and being in keeping with the surrounding buildings. The application conforms to the design policies of the Neighbourhood plan, HQD1 & 2.

- ii. 20/03418/APP 44 London Road First floor side and rear extensions and front porch.

The Committee discussed this application, taking onboard the objection lodged on the planning portal, the comments made by the applicant and visit to the site and discussions with the neighbouring property owners. They discussed the fact that the removal of the window which currently looked into the neighbouring property and replacement with a Velux window at a height of 15 feet would remove any overlooking or intrusion and did not see that the window and Juliette balcony would overlook the neighbouring property. They also agreed that the design was in keeping, non-intrusive and of good quality design.

**RESOLVED:** In support

- iii. 20/03321/APP 105 Aylesbury Road Single storey front extension

The Committee discussed the location and setting, including surrounding properties, and noted that the property was set back from the road, next to the guide hut and near to two sizable houses.

**RESOLVED:** No objection

- iv. Other (for report only)  
There were none

**20.102 Review and Recommendations of Planning Applications: Large Scale**

- i. Other (for report only)  
There were none.

**20.103 Bierton, Broughton and Kingsbrook Neighbourhood Plan – Pre-Submissions Consultation**

A number of Committee members had looked through the plan and presented their thoughts to the Committee. It was AGREED to recommend to Parish Council that there was no need to comment on the plan and the Clerk would add a MOTION for the next Parish Council meeting for decision.

**20.104 Date of Next meeting**

There was no date set for the next meeting

..... Chairman ..... Date